

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA,
10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
11

12 GOOGLE LLC,
13 Plaintiff and Counter-defendant,
14 v.
15 SONOS, INC.,
16 Defendant and Counter-claimant.
17
18

Case No. 3:20-cv-06754-WHA
Related to Case No. 3:21-cv-07559-WHA
**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
SONOS, INC.'S ADMINISTRATIVE
MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER
ANOTHER PARTY'S MATERIAL
SHOULD BE SEALED RE SONOS,
INC.'S OPPOSITION TO GOOGLE'S
MOTION TO STRIKE EXPERT
REPORTS**

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5, Sonos, Inc. (“Sonos”) has filed an Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party’s Material Should Be Sealed (“Administrative Motion”) in connection with Sonos, Inc.’s Opposition To Google’s Motion To Strike Expert Reports (“Sonos’s Opposition”), and good cause to seal having been shown, the Court GRANTS Sonos’s Administrative Motion and ORDERS sealed the following:

DOCUMENT	PORTIONS TO BE SEALED	DESIGNATING PARTY
Sonos’s Opposition	Portions highlighted in yellow and green boxes	Google
Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Cole Richter in Support of Opposition (“Decl. of Richter”)	Entire Document	Google
Exhibit 2 to Decl. of Richter	Entire Document	Google
Exhibit 3 to Decl. of Richter	Entire Document	Google
Exhibit 4 to Decl. of Richter	Entire Document	Google
Exhibit 5 to Decl. of Richter	Entire Document	Google
Exhibit 6 to Decl. of Richter	Entire Document	Google
Exhibit 7 to Decl. of Richter	Entire Document	Google
Exhibit 8 to Decl. of Richter	Entire Document	Google
Exhibit 9 to Decl. of Richter	Entire Document	Google
Exhibit 10 to Decl. of Richter	Entire Document	Google
Exhibit 11 to Decl. of Richter	Entire Document	Google

Dated: _____, 2023

HON. WILLIAM H. ALSUP
United States District Judge